

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,172	01/23/2004	Susimin Suprapmo	006404.P015	7856
T590 02/28/2008 Stephen M. De Klerk BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			PENDLETON, DIONNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,172	SUPRAPMO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dionne H. Pendleton	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 i	February 2008.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-12,14-30,32-39 and 41-45</u> is	s/are pending in the applicat	ion.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,14-28,39 and 41-45</u> is/are allo		
6) Claim(s) 29,30,32 and 34-38 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority aridor of o.o.o. 3	(4)
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri-	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not	received.
•		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number:

10/764,172 Art Unit: 2627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The finality of the action mailed 10/10/2007 is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36 and 37 recite the limitation "the enclosure" in line 2, respectively.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 29, 30, 32, 35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pappanikolaou (US 4,168,761).

Regarding claim 29, Pappanikolaou teaches an acoustic extension comprising:

A central stem (see walls which define chamber "36" in figure 4); a plurality of columns (36c, 32b, 36b, 32a, etc.,) generally concentric with the stem;

Application/Control Number:

10/764,172 Art Unit: 2627

the plurality of columns being mounted to an outer wall (18), and an inner wall (16) in an alternating and opposed manner to define there between an airflow passage operatively connected to the central stem and the interior, wherein the plurality of columns comprise and intermediate column (32b) mounted to the inner wall (16) and extending towards the outer wall, there being an air gap (50) between a free end of the intermediate column (32b) and the outer wall.

Regarding claim 30, Pappanikolaou teaches an inner column (36c) mounted to the outer wall (18), and extending towards the inner wall (16), there being an air gap (shown) between a free end of the inner column (36c) and the inner wall.

Regarding claim 32, Pappanikolaou teaches an outer column (36b) mounted to the outer wall (18), and extending towards the inner wall (16), there being an air gap (shown) between a free end of the outer column (36b) and the inner wall.

Regarding claim 35, Pappanikolaou teaches that the central stem (see walls which define chamber "36" in figure 4) defines an air volume, the air volume and airflow passage being of a constant acoustic area.

Regarding claim 38, Pappanikolaou teaches that the acoustic extension is selected from the group consisting of: a bass reflex port, a tuned port, a passive radiator, and a concentric loading.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10/764,172 Art Unit: 2627

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pappanikolaou (US 4,168,761) in view of Takenaka (US 6,078,676).

Regarding claims 16 and 34,

Pappanikolaou teaches the invention of claim 30.

Pappanikolaou does not clearly teach that the junctions between columns are curved.

TAKENAKA teaches in **column 2**, **lines 46-51**, a sound passage provided with smooth curves. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Pappanikolaou and Takenaka, providing a smoothly curved juncture between passages, thus avoiding deterioration in sound quality due to undesired resonance and also decreasing the degree of turbulent air current.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Independent claims 1,8,33 and 39 are allowed, as well as any claim dependent thereon.

10/764,172 Art Unit: 2627

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed 2/5/08 with respect to claims 1,8,33 and 39, have been fully considered and are persuasive.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne H. Pendleton whose telephone number is 571-272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-7:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. Pendleton

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT TXAMINER